

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/894,389	(06/28/2001	Michael Epstein	US 010313	6444	
24737	7590	04/21/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS IN	TELLEC	CTUAL PROPER	ZAND,	ZAND, KAMBIZ		
	O. BOX 3001 RIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Did. iii O.		1, 1,1		2132		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/894,389	EPSTEIN, MICHAEL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kambiz Zand	2132					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	(IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting (b) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M	arch 2006						
·- ·	_ · ·						
,—	dition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	•						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>2-4,11-15,21 and 22</u> is/are rejected.	<u></u>						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-10 and 16-20</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>07/01/2202</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes		y the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	. Carra basan masabasal						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority							
application from the International Bureau		ed III tilis National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.					
		KAMBIZ ZAND					
Attachment(s)		PRIMARY EXAMINER					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/894,389 Page 2

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35,U.S.Code not included in this section can be found in the prior office action.

- The prior office actions are incorporated herein by reference. In particular, the observations with respect to claim language, and response to previously presented arguments.
- 3. Claim 1 has been cancelled.
- 4. Claims 2, 5-7, 11-13, 16-17 and 21-22 have been amended.
- 5. Claims 2-22 are pending.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 03/03/2006 have been fully considered but they are persuasive only in the light of amendment made to claim 5 and 16 and their limitations after the amendment. Therefore rejections of claims 5 and 6 and their dependent claims 6-10 and 17-20 have been withdrawn (see allowability subject matter below).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. Claims 2, 11, 12, 13, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Evans et al (WO 01/01316 A2) recited in applicant's IDS filed on 11/22/2002.

Application/Control Number: 09/894,389

Art Unit: 2132

As per claims 12 and 22 Evans et al (WO 01/01316 A2) teach an apparatus, method for receiving, protecting and storing material, said apparatus, method (see title on page 1; line 1 on page 5) comprising: receiving/means for receiving material in an unprotected form from a remote source (see page 24, lines 25-30), and generating a damaged version of the material and store a damaged version of the material while determining the authorization (see page 24, lines 25-30 or fig.8, block 806; page 5, lines 3-10 where examiner considers the encrypted software as damaged content since without the decryption key is not usable; and wherein the generation of the damaged version of applicant's corresponds to encryption generation of the software; fig.4 and associated text; see fig.8 block 808 where the verifier accept the payment and sending the decryption key for the protected material; examiner considers the accepting of the payment as determination of authorization and sending the decrypted key as authorization for processing the protected material), and repair the damaged version of the material to form a repaired version of the material after the verifier determines the authorization (the repair is being done by decrypting the encrypted content as disclosed on page 5, lines 3-25). Also see the entire reference for different variation of content protections under the same analogy that is providing a damage content (encrypted, scrambled, watermarked, etc.) to the client (user, client, server, entity, etc) and upon authorization (user authorization, payment authorization, license verification, etc.) repair the damage content by a decrypting key or descrambler key, etc.. to a usable content and where the downloading of the content or storing of the protected content Application/Control Number: 09/894,389 Page 4

Art Unit: 2132

Evans not clearly discloses that the receiving apparatus is the one apparatus that damage and repair the received material, however Evans as is teaching such a process disclosed above. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Evan's encryption and decryption of the received material within the receiving system in order to reduce the processing time and the cost related to using the plurality of the services as a desired design choice where such intended use have been disclosed as above (A recitation directed to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be used does not distinguish the claimed apparatus from the prior art if prior art has the capability to do so perform (See MPEP 2114 and Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987)).

As per claims 2 and 13 Evans et al (WO 01/01316 A2) teach the apparatus and the method of claims 22 and 12, wherein the verifier determines the authorization based on a verification of a presence of an entirety of a data set corresponding to the material (see page 5, lines 1-24; page 20, lines 8-12 and page 10, lines 10-14).

Art Unit: 2132

As per claims 11 and 21 Evans et al (WO 01/01316 A2) teach the apparatus and the method of claims 22 and 12, wherein said apparatus further comprises: means for rendering the material while the verifier is determining the authorization (see fig.8 and associated text).

8. Claims 3-4 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans et al (WO 01/01316 A2) in view of Boebert et al (5,502,766).

As per claims 3 and 14 Evans et al (WO 01/01316 A2) teach the security system and the method of claims 22 and 12, but do not disclose explicitly the gate is further storing means stores the damaged version on a removable media. However Boebert et al (5,502,766) disclose storing the damaged version on a removable media (see abstract; fig.3 and associated text). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Ebert's removable medium in evan's software distribution system and method in order to provide a data enclave for securing data carried on a removable storage units (see col.5, lines 39-43).

As per claims 4 and 15 Evans et al (WO 01/01316 A2) teach the security system and the method of claims 22 and 12, wherein store the damaged version, and store the repaired version in a permanent storage device as applied to claim 22 and 12

Art Unit: 2132

above but do not disclose storing the damaged version in a temporary storage device. However Ebert et al (5,502,766) disclose storing the damaged version in a temporary storage device (see fig.3 and associated text). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Ebert's removable medium in evan's software distribution system and method in order to provide a data enclave for securing data carried on a removable storage units (see col.5, lines 39-43).

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 5-10 and 16-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10.**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/894,389 Page 7

Art Unit: 2132

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (571) 272-3811. The examiner can normally reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00-5:00). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571) 272-3799. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned as 571-272-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Applications Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KAMBIZ ZAND PRIMARY EXAMINER

04/18/2006

Au 2132